


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



ELSEVIER

available at www.sciencedirect.comjournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cortex

Special issue: Original article

Cortico-striatal function in sentence comprehension: Insights from neurophysiology and modeling

Peter F. Dominey^{a,*} and Toshio Inui^{b,c}^aINSERM U846, Bron Cedex, France^bDepartment of Intelligence Science and Technology, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan^cERATO Asada Project, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2008

Reviewed 1 December 2008

Revised 17 December 2008

Accepted 13 March 2009

Published online 5 April 2009

Keywords:

Cortico-striatal system

Thematic role assignment

Neural network

Basal ganglia

ABSTRACT

The characteristic organization of cortex, basal ganglia and thalamus can be considered a “canonical” macro-circuit of the primate brain. The intact function of the system requires intact function at the different nodes of the circuit. Cortico-striatal circuits are compromised in Parkinson’s disease (PD) due to progressive loss of dopamine-producing neurons in the basal ganglia. Among the cognitive deficits observed in PD is an ensemble of perturbations in language processing, thus implying a role for basal ganglia in language. Related studies have suggested that basal ganglia dysfunction results in a more general deficit in certain forms of rule-based processing. From a functional neurophysiology perspective, neuro-imaging studies reveal activation of the striatum in diverse aspects of language processing including syntactic comprehension.

We present a model in which the unique capacity for the striatum to integrate functionally related cortical inputs is exploited for language processing. Converging cortico-striatal connections provide a mechanism that binds cortical representations of syntactic context in BA47 to structure mapping representations (corresponding to grammatical constructions) in BA44. This allows the retrieval of the appropriate grammatical construction to BA44 via thalamo-cortical connections, where it is subsequently used to perform the structure mapping. In this model, the rule retrieval function of the cortico-striatal systems is not unique to language. The model is evaluated in the context of behavioral and neurophysiological results from basal ganglia dysfunction. Likewise, as the model makes strong assumptions about the cortical and subcortical neuroanatomy, recent results in human neuroanatomy are reviewed in the context of these assumptions.

© 2009 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the outstanding open issues in neuroscience concerns the functional significance of the neuroanatomical organization of the primate cortico-striatal system, and its role in

human cognition. In a highly influential review article based on extensive studies of primate neuroanatomy, Alexander et al. (1986) described the organization of the massive projections from neocortex to the striatum in terms of a set of relatively segregated and specialized circuits or loops. These

* Corresponding author. INSERM U846, 18 Avenue Doyen Lépine, 69675 Bron Cedex, France.

E-mail addresses: peter.dominey@inserm.fr (P.F. Dominey), inui@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp (T. Inui).

0010-9452/\$ – see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2009.03.007

circuits largely preserve the functional topography of their cortical sites of origin, corresponding to oculomotor function, limbic function, sensorimotor function, etc. A major conceptual tenet of this “parallel organization” proposal was that each of the parallel circuits exhibited a form of integration such that functionally related cortical areas would project to overlapping zones in the striatum, thus providing a form of selective and specialized integration. At the same time, however, data suggested that different forms of cortico-striatal projection patterns, as well as subsequent striato-nigral projections, where further integration occurs, would provide for more complex integration that goes beyond the parallel circuit descriptions (Haber et al., 2006, 2000; Calzavara et al., 2007). In particular, it appears that information related to behavioral significance and reward has a special status and a special mode of projection or distribution in the cortico-striatal system (Haber et al., 2006). In this context it is now clearly established that the neurotransmitter dopamine (DA) which is a major component of the primate reward system plays a crucial role in cortico-striatal synaptic plasticity (Calabresi et al., 2007). One of the issues that we hope to address concerns how this plasticity may play a central role in language processing.

Over the last decades the cognitive neurosciences have provided vast data on the functional neurophysiology of language processing. This has allowed the emergence of models that synthesize these results into coherent frameworks. Friederici (2002) and Friederici and Kotz (2003) have proposed a detailed model of the temporal processing in sentence comprehension. Phase 1 involves lexical categorization in superior and media temporal gyrus (STG and MTG) and the transfer of this information to the left inferior frontal gyrus (LIFG), and is associated with an early left anterior negativity (ELAN) in the 150–200 msec timeframe. In Phase 2 lexical-semantic and thematic processing continues in STG and MTG, and semantic and morphosyntactic integration take place in BA45/47 and BA44/45 respectively, associated with the N400 and left anterior negativity (LAN) respectively in the 300–500 msec timeframe. This interaction of syntactic and semantic processes would involve the formation of relationships with syntactic relationships involving BA44 and the frontal opercular cortex, and semantic relationships recruiting BA45/47 (Friederici, 2002, p. 85). In Phase 3 syntactic revision and late integration take place, with syntactic and semantic processes interacting (Friederici, 2002), which might involve a complex network including IFG (Friederici, 2002), the STG and the basal ganglia (Friederici and Kotz, 2003), associated with the P600. This phase is associated with reanalysis and repair processing. We suggest that such repair processing will require reference to a working memory of the current set of open class elements processed in the sentence, which likely is provided by BA45/47.

In a complimentary model, Hagoort has proposed a cortical continuum of integration/unification in his Memory, Unification and Control (MUC) model (2005), again paying particular attention to the link between function and neurophysiology. The mental lexicon, which allows retrieval of meaning based on lexical entries, is implemented in temporal cortex and retrieves for each word its meaning and its structural frame which specifies the possible structural environment of that

lexical item. Meaning is constructed via the unification of the different structural possibilities into a consistent representation. This requires a combination of distinct forms of working memory for integration in the time domain. Left inferior frontal cortex recruits lexical information, mainly stored in temporal lobe structures that are known to be involved in lexical processing, and unifies them into overall representations that span multi-word utterances (Hagoort, 2005). Indeed, according to Hagoort (2005) LIFG embodies a gradient of unification, such that BA47 and BA45 are involved in semantic processing; BA45 and 44 contribute to syntactic processing; and, finally, BA44 and parts of BA6 have a role in phonological processing. Here, we hypothesize that during comprehension, representations of the individual elements in BA45/47 are mapped into a separate and distinct sentence-level meaning, integrating syntactic and semantic structure in BA44/6.

We proposed a model of grammatical construction processing in which grammatical structure cues (based on MacWhinney, 1982) are encoded in a recurrent cortical network, and via cortico-striatal associative memory retrieves the grammatical construction. Neural activity that encodes the sentence structure context in BA47 becomes associated with the grammatical construction frame in striatum, via modifiable cortico-striatal synapses. This allows the mapping of semantic elements in BA45 onto the sentence-level representation of meaning in BA44 (Dominey et al., 2006).

We have recently developed a neurophysiological model (Dominey et al., 2009) that begins to account for real-time aspects of sentence processing as specified in models including those proposed by Friederici (2002), the functional processing of the MUC model of sentence processing developed by Hagoort (2005), and the grammatical construction processing model of Dominey et al. (2006). Part of the novelty of that research is that it also attempts to account for recent neurophysiological results concerning the processing of non-linguistic sequential material including artificial grammar learning (AGL) within the same framework. Above all, we want to situate this model in the context of distributed networks of cortical, basal ganglia and thalamic interaction, providing a more detailed link between processing and the underlying neurophysiology. An initial effort in this context has been made in Dominey et al. (2009). Here we review (Sections 2 and 3) and expand on this approach and in particular address the implications of distinct cortico-striatal circuits and their functional roles in language function and dysfunction.

2. Cortico-striatal processing in language

Fig. 1 provides a functional neurophysiological overview of our proposal for cortico-striatal function in language processing. In order to characterize the operation of this distributed network, we first specify the “goal” of the system in terms of sentence comprehension. Given an input sentence, the system should perform the necessary operations (e.g., lexical categorization, thematic role assignment – TRA etc.) in order to represent the meaning of the sentence. From a neurophysiological perspective, Tettamanti et al. (2005) have demonstrated that listening to action-related sentences




Fig. 1 – Syntactic comprehension model architecture. Dual processing streams for closed and open class words, corresponding to STG and MTG respectively. As the input sentence is read in, the recurrent network BA47 encodes the ordered history of closed class words from STG. BA45 receives open class elements from MTG. BA44/6 serves as a syntactic working memory and the meaning in terms of a “PAOR” representation. Based on the syntactic context defined by the sequence of closed class words in the BA47–Caudate projections, the thalamic inputs to BA44/45M will modulate the open class element from BA44 to the correct population in BA44/6. In BA44/6 “Bill” is represented in the Recipient population, corresponding to the correct mapping for an input sentence “John was introduced to Bill by Fred”. BA44/6/9 – Integrated Working Memory representation of sentence meaning at multi-word level. PAOR representation. BA45 – Semantic Working Memory for integration into BA44/6/9. BA44/45R – Recognize match between BA45 working memory (WM) contents and an element of BA44/6. BA44/45M – Map current semantic element (from BA45 for first pass, or BA45/47WM for 2nd). BA45/47 – Working Memory of input lexical semantic elements for possible late integration. BA47 – Recurrent network encoding sequential grammatical context. First Pass Mapping: BA47 to BA44/45M projection directs mapping of current semantic element onto appropriate role in sentence-level meaning (BA44/6/9). Late Integration: BA47 – Striatum ... BA44/45M: By convergence of BA47 and BA44/45R, later BA47 activity drives striatal neurons linked with activation of appropriate BA44/45M neurons to perform late mapping of semantic element to its appropriate role.

results in activation of frontoparietal motor circuits, including BA44, pars opercularis of the LIFG. For the present purposes, let us consider that during sentence comprehension LIFG BA44/6 recruits lexical information at the word level and unifies this into overall representations that span multi-word utterances (Hagoort, 2005).

Here we consider the succession of events in time, within the space of the distributed brain network, for the processing of words in a sentence, leading to the generation of this sentence-level meaning representation in LIFG. In Phase 1,

a process of lexical categorization takes place as the word form is categorized. Based on Friederici (2002) this is hypothesized to take place in the temporal lobe with closed class information being processed in the STG, and open class information processed in the MTG.

Sakai (2005) reviews data indicating that BA45/47 participates in the selective integration of semantic information at the sentence/discourse level based on specific grammatical structure. This suggests the possibility of a working memory for these elements that will allow their subsequent binding into the sentence-level representation of meaning in BA44/6.

Information related to closed class words will be directed from STG to BA47 in the IFG. In this context Inui et al. (2007) have observed that closed class elements in Japanese (particles) selectively activate BA47. Based on the layered structure of BA47 we can also safely assume the existence of recurrent cortico-cortical connections within this area (Goldman-Rakic, 1987). These provide an important context preserving/encoding capability (Dominey et al., 1995), so that BA47 activity represents the current closed class element within the overall context of the sentence. Continuing with the processing of closed class elements, we propose that cortico-striatal projection neurons in BA47 project to the head of the caudate, in a canonical cortico-striato-nigro-thalamo-cortical circuit. Recent data from human cortico-striatal projection tracing using diffusion tensor imaging (Lehéricy et al., 2004) indeed support the proposal that cortico-striatal connections in humans are organized in multiple overlapping circuits, similar to that observed in non-human primates (Alexander et al., 1986). This leads us to propose a “grammatical structure” circuit in the cortico-striatal system with distinct and segregated paths, similar to the proposal of Ullman (2006).

We hypothesize that via this circuit, the system can retrieve information related to the syntactic roles (or frames in the unification component of the MUC model of Hagoort) that are licensed by this word or group of closed class words. One potential mechanism for establishing this encoding, that will take place during language acquisition, is via modifiable cortico-striatal synapses that form the basis for a robust form of associative memory. While the broad discussion of poverty of the stimulus etc. is beyond the scope of this paper (but see Dominey, 2005a, 2005b), let us consider that at some given point during early acquisition (18–24 months of age), the child hears a sentence and observes an action-scene which that sentence accurately describes. Further consider that the child is familiar with the words in the sentence, but not with the particular grammatical form, e.g., a passive of the form “John was introduced to Bill by Fred.” Observation of the action will lead to a representation of that action in a structured manner (encoding who did what to whom) within the LIFG BA44 (see Tettamanti et al., 2005).

When the sub-sequence “to Bill” is processed, and the system has the pre-established representation of Bill as the Recipient of the action, then conditions are favorable for learning the relation between “to” and assignment of the recipient role. The details of how this learning occurs will be described in the next section. In summary, the encoding of the closed class word “to” in cortex and the recognition of

the thematic role played by “Bill” generates activity in the caudate, which in turn inhibits substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), disinhibiting thalamus and generating a final pattern of activation in BA44/45M. We hypothesize that via this activation, the thematic role for the current open class “Bill” element is licensed to take the thematic role of recipient of the verb “introduced” (note that this is a language dependant operation – in other languages post-positions will license the word that precedes them). During learning, the system will initially operate by trial and error. Successful trials in which the (initially random) choice of the system is correct will result in strengthening of the responsible cortico-striatal connections. Likewise, incorrect choices (resulting in incorrect TRAs) will result in weakening of the responsible cortico-striatal synapses. This dual mechanism (strengthening and weakening appropriately) thus favors repetition and consolidation of correct responses, and elimination of incorrect responses. It should be made clear that the functional neurophysiology of this form of learning is quite well documented in the domain of sensorimotor sequence learning (reviewed in [Dominey et al., 1995](#)). If we consider that correctly understanding a sentence will generate some internal reward, then we can hypothesize that DA release in the striatum during correct trials in learning favors synaptic strengthening via long term potentiation (LTP) ([Calabresi et al., 2007](#)). Likewise the absence of this expected reward in the face of misunderstanding will lead to reduction in the baseline activity of DA producing cells in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and ventral tegmental area (VTA), and a corresponding weakening of these synapses ([Calabresi et al., 2007](#)). The net result of this BA47/45–Caudate–SNc–Thalamus–BA44/45 projection is a modulation of the licensing of the relevant open class element encoded in BA45 for its corresponding thematic role, which will be encoded in BA44. Thus, this cortico-striatal loop participates in the syntactic unification by establishing the licensed TRA. This is consistent with observations of increased activity in BA44 during tasks with high syntactic complexity ([Stromswold et al., 1996](#); [Moro et al., 2001](#)). In conditions in which the assignments cannot be made as each open class word arrives, the system will require a form of syntactic working memory. [Fiebach et al. \(2005\)](#) indeed observed activation of BA44 in conditions requiring such working memory. Given this “long loop” pathway, we will consider that this operation corresponds in part to the late Phase 3 integration of [Friederici \(2002\)](#) and [Friederici and Kotz \(2003\)](#). We have proposed this cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical loop for learning grammatical constructions. The cited neuroanatomical and functional imagery data are consistent with this proposal, though they clearly do not exclude alternative models.

In this context, our model would predict significant roles for striatum and thalamus in sentence processing. Indeed, this prediction is validated in a number of brain imagery and neuropsychological studies. For example, [Moro et al. \(2001\)](#) observed significant activation of left BA45, and of the left caudate nucleus under conditions that specifically required syntactic processing. Similarly, during the processing of syntactic anomalies, [Friederici and Kotz \(2003\)](#) observed cortical activity in the left posterior frontal

operculum adjacent to BA44 and in the putamen of the left basal ganglia.

3. From neurophysiology to neural network model

We can now proceed with the specification of the simulation model. The model architecture is illustrated in [Fig. 1](#). The cortical gradient of structural processing or unification is represented in the transition along BAs 47–45–44. Auditory or written word input undergoes an early lexical categorization, with closed class words making their way to BA47 via a projection from STG, and open class words to BA45 via a projection from MTG. BA45 encodes the current open class element, and a semantic working memory in BA45/47 maintains the sentence-level ensemble of open class words available for possible reanalysis and repair. We model BA47 as a recurrent network which thus takes into account the current closed class element, as well as the previous closed class elements, with this history being encoded by information flow through the recurrent connections. BA47 projects to the head of the caudate, and via the “canonical” cortico-striato-nigro-thalamo-cortico circuit, projects to BA44/45M. While the current open class word is represented in BA45, the sentence-level integrated meaning is represented in BA44/6 as proposed by [Hagoort \(2005\)](#). For simplicity, we assume a form of working memory with distinct neural populations corresponding to thematic roles, and distinct patterns of activity within those populations encoding the identity of the holder of that particular thematic role in the current sentence. This is represented in [Fig. 1](#) with the Predicate, Agent, Object, Recipient roles indicated by PAOR. The relation between these two representations is critical: as part of syntactic integration, the word level meaning (BA45) must become integrated or bound – with the properly assigned thematic role – into the sentence-level meaning in BA44/6. The assignment of a given open class element in a sentence to its thematic role will depend on multiple factors including the particular grammatical construction, the local and global configuration of closed class elements in the sentence, the relative order of the open class element in the sentence. The system must take these factors into consideration.

Given these requirements, and the neuro-imaging evidence for activation of BA44/45 during syntactic processing, we can consider that there is a relatively complex interaction between BA44 and BA45. We thus propose two functional subdivisions of this region. BA44/45M (for modulation) modulates the transfer or binding of semantic content in BA45 into the grammatical/thematic frame of meaning at the sentence-level in BA44/6. Thus, the thalamo-cortical input will modulate the connections from BA45 to BA44/6 such that the open class element coded in BA45 is transmitted to BA44/6 appropriately in the context of TRA (as for “Bill” in [Fig. 1](#)). The second functional subdivision, BA44/45R (for recognition) plays a different role – recognizing the open class element in BA45 and its role in the meaning coded in BA44/6 (as for “Bill” in [Fig. 1](#)). These two areas thus play reciprocal roles: during learning BA44/45R detects the thematic role binding for the current open class

element, (e.g., recognizing that “Bill” in BA45 matches the Recipient – R role in BA44/6), in the ongoing context of the sentence as encoded in BA47. BA44/45M provides the corresponding modulation or binding function, binding this element in BA45 to its proper role in BA44/6 during comprehension of the same type of sentence, when the same context is encoded in BA47. This recognition signal provides a crucial learning signal such that context encoding in BA47 becomes linked to the binding signal in BA44/45M (explained in the next paragraph). During comprehension, this activity in BA47 should drive the BA44/45M modulation population so that it modulates or binds the neural activity for the current open class element onto its corresponding thematic role – the same thematic role that was recognized in BA44/45R during learning in the same context as encoded by BA47.

Let us reconsider the situation of learning a new grammatical structure mapping, in which case the system has observed an event and encoded that event in BA44/6. The system is now learning how to map the sequential succession of open class elements (in the corresponding sentence) onto their thematic roles. BA44/45 R (for recognition) compares the current open class element in BA45 (“Bill”) with the event representation of BA44/6, and detects a match with the R (Recipient) role. This information projects via a cortico-striatal pathway into the caudate nucleus in a zone that overlaps with the projections from BA47. The BA44/45R input provides a teaching signal specifying that the current activity in BA47 should drive binding of BA45 contents to the Recipient role. Through cortico-striatal plasticity, cortico-striatal synapses on striatal neurons that are activated by both the BA47 inputs and the BA44/45R inputs will become strengthened in an associative memory that binds the BA47 and BA44/45R inputs together. The result of the learning is that at some future time, when the same sentence type is being processed, the same input from BA47 will activate the caudate–SNr–thalamus–BA44/45M pathway and retrieve the associated binding such that BA44/45M binds or modulates the current open class element into the Recipient role. Importantly, this learning will generalize to new sentences that adhere to the learned grammatical structure. Via this mechanism, cortico-striatal plasticity is employed to allow closed class structure to drive TRA. Thus, the convergence of functionally related cortical projections onto the striatum provides a mechanism for learning how to perform TRA in real-time.

4. Analysis of language dysfunction in the context of the model

The cortico-striatal system participates in language processing, and the details of this participation can be revealed in part through neurological studies. Thus, insults to the caudate via lesion (Alexander et al., 1987; Pickett et al., 1998), or PD and Huntington’s disease result in a broad spectrum of language deficits including deficits in syntactic comprehension (Grossman 1999; Hochstadt et al., 2006; Teichmann et al., 2005) and grammatical rule processing (Ullman et al., 1997). Likewise, thalamic lesions can lead to linguistic deficits including deficits in sentence comprehension, and the use of syntactic complexity in production (De Witte et al., 2006).

More specifically, Hochstadt et al. (2006) examined correlations between performance in syntactic comprehension and cognitive set-switching, verbal working memory, and articulatory rehearsal in a population of 41 Parkinson patients. They first observed a syntactic comprehension deficit for complex sentences in these patients. Interestingly, this impairment was correlated with the impairment in cognitive set-switching, in motor function (Hoehn-Yahr stage), and in reading span or verbal working memory. More detailed analysis suggested that deficits in set-switching may be related to the processing of relative phrases, while deficits in working memory are related to impairments in comprehension of long-distance dependencies. The authors thus argue that such dissociated processes could reflect the dysfunction of distinct and segregated cortico-striatal circuits (Hochstadt et al., 2006).

Friederici and colleagues have taken a complimentary approach to investigating basal ganglia function in language processing through the use of analysis of brain activity in Parkinson’s patients during syntactic processing (Friederici et al., 2003). These authors examined Parkinson’s disease (PD) patients and age-matched controls in an auditory sentence comprehension task, and examine ERP responses related to early “first pass” syntactic processing (the ELAN), and to the later syntactic integration (the P600). Interestingly, PD patients did not differ from controls with respect to the ELAN. However, their P600 response was different from that of controls as revealed by a significant group interaction effect.

In an attempt to synthesize these results, we can consider that for sentences in which the unfolding grammatical context of closed class element is not ambiguous, first pass syntactic processing will provide the correct analysis. That is, the encoding of the current grammatical context in BA47 will activate, via direct cortico-cortical connections, the BA44/445M population which modulates the current open class element (coded in BA45) into its appropriate thematic role in the meaning representation in BA44/6. This cortico-cortical activation related to lexical categorization and early mapping processing involving STG, BA47 and BA44/6 will be responsible for the ELAN ERP associated with first pass processing (see Friederici and Kotz, 2003). Because these processes rely on cortico-cortical connections, and not on recruitment of the cortico-striatal system, they should remain relatively intact in PD. This is confirmed by the maintenance of the ELAN response in PD patients (Friederici and Kotz, 2003), and by the maintained syntactic comprehension capability for canonical sentences (as in Hochstadt et al., 2006; Grossman, 1999; Grossman et al., 2001). In certain cases of non-canonical syntactic complexity or ambiguity in which first pass information is not sufficient – this will be recognized as a failure in the mapping process in BA44/6, revealed by multiple open class elements taking the same thematic role. In this case, the context encoding of sentence context in the recurrent BA47 network will activate the striatum, and via cortico-striatal connections which have been modified through learning this activation will retrieve the correct mapping pattern which will be forwarded to the BA44/45M population via the substantia nigra pars reticulata/globus pallidus (SNR/GP)-thalamo-cortical circuit as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the case of a “reanalysis” in which erroneous TRAs must be re-assigned,

open class elements in the working memory of BA45/47 will be re-assigned in parallel via activation of the BA44/45M population. This corresponds to the sentence-level processing currently implemented in the model of grammatical construction processing of Dominey et al. (2006). This cortico-striato-cortical long loop activation will be associated with the P600 and with these more complex syntactic processes. Thus, striatal dysfunction due to lesion or DA depletion will lead to corresponding impairments in this more complex syntactic processing.

5. Discussion

Over 20 years ago, Alexander et al. (1986) presented ideas about the existence of segregated cortico-striatal pathways in which functionally related cortical regions would project to partially overlapping regions of striatum. Because this general structure is so recurrent we can consider that it is a fundamental aspect of primate brain organization. However, with respect to other fundamental organizational structures (e.g., topographic mapping, population coding) the computational power that is likely inherent in cortico-striatal segregated integration does not yet seem to be fully understood or exploited. Dominey et al. (1995) demonstrated how such integration could be exploited in learning to link visual color and shape inputs from inferotemporal cortex to oculomotor responses coded in the frontal eye fields via their common striatal projection population (Dominey et al., 1995). The same cortico-striatal mechanism was demonstrated to generalize to allow the binding of internal state representations in recurrent prefrontal networks with the appropriate motor responses, again via their common striatal target projection population (Dominey et al., 1995). Recent data suggest that striatal neurons which receive inputs from BA46 are also innervated by functionally related cortical areas which encode general representations of behavioral significance which will be well adapted for learning (Calzavara et al., 2007; Haber et al., 2006). Data from the investigation of the human cortico-striatal system allow us to extrapolate such results to man (Lehéricy et al., 2004). In particular, we propose the existence of a striatal region that receives converging inputs from two functionally related cortical areas in the left perisylvian region: BA47 which encodes sentence grammatical context, and a population of neurons in BA44/45 which encode the relation between the current open class element during ongoing sentence processing, and its corresponding thematic role represented in the sentence-level representation of meaning in BA44/6. The central idea of our model is that via plasticity in cortico-striatal synapses, this information about TRA in BA44/45M will become bound to the grammatical context coding activity in BA47, so that in the future the BA47 activity will be sufficient to retrieve the corresponding TRA information. Thus, the segregated convergence of language-related cortico-striatal projections provides the basis for syntactic comprehension.

Our proposal is thus that “over learned” associations between grammatical structure representations and the corresponding TRAs for canonical sentence types will be encoded and performed directly via cortico-cortical connections. In

contrast, less frequent and more complex relations found in non-canonical sentences will rely on the cortico-striatal system, exploiting a more general cortico-striatal rule manipulation capability (Ullman et al., 1997; Ullman, 2004, 2006; Teichmann et al., 2005; Dominey et al., 2006). Pasupathy and Miller (2005) have provided neurophysiological evidence that during the time course of learning arbitrary associations, the cortico-striatal system will be involved in the early identification of the relevant associations. The output of basal ganglia will provide a mechanism by which slower cortico-cortical learning mechanism can subsequently acquire these associations. We can hypothesize such a mechanism here which allows the language learning system to adapt to the regularities of the target language, with high frequency canonical structures encoded in cortico-cortical pathways and lower frequency non-canonical structures relying on the cortico-striatal system.

The current model represents the next level of refinement of our efforts in understanding the brain mechanisms of syntactic comprehension and sentence processing. Dominey et al. (2003, 2006) began to lay the framework for syntactic comprehension and grammatical construction processing, and proposed that through cortico-striatal plasticity, sentence-level coding of grammatical structure could become linked to the corresponding TRAs. While these simulation studies demonstrated that the model could effectively learn a large ensemble of grammatical constructions in English, French and Japanese, there were two open issues. First, the neuroanatomical details including the precise allocation of function to different cortical subdivisions was not yet available. Second, the ability of the model to perform on-line processing – as opposed to waiting until the end of the sentence before assigning thematic roles, was not clearly addressed. Recently, Dominey et al. (2009) proposed the next level of refinement in solutions to these two issues, based on the previous models, and current results from human brain imaging studies of language processing. In the current research, we continue this approach in order to develop a detailed proposition concerning cortico-striatal function in language processing, with an analysis of how the system will fail in response to dysfunction of the cortico-striatal system. Our future research will include detailed validation of the model and analysis of its real-time processing capabilities. One area that we are actively exploring concerns the role of the temporal cortex (STG and MTG). In the currently model their processing is oversimplified, essentially related to lexical categorization, and should be extended, for example, to address the role of STG in Phase 2 and Phase 3 processing in the Friederici model (Friederici and Kotz, 2003). In the mean time, we hope that the current analysis will be of use in providing a common framework in which neuro-imaging and neurological results can be considered.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the euCognition European project, the FP7 ICT Project CHRIS, and the French ANR AMORCES.

REFERENCES

- Alexander GE, DeLong MR, and Strick PL. Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 9: 357–381, 1986.
- Alexander MP, Naeser MA, and Palumbo CL. Correlations of subcortical CT lesion sites and aphasia profiles. *Brain*, 110: 961–991, 1987.
- Calabresi P, Picconi B, Tozzi A, and Di Filippo M. Dopamine-mediated regulation of corticostriatal synaptic plasticity. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 30: 211–219, May 2007.
- Calzavara R, Maily P, and Haber SN. Relationship between the cortico-striatal terminals from areas 9 and 46, and those from area 8A, dorsal and rostral premotor cortex and area 24c: an anatomical substrate for cognition to action. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 26: 2005–2024, 2007.
- De Witte L, Willssens I, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, and Mariën P. Impairment of syntax and lexical semantics in a patient with bilateral paramedian thalamic infarction. *Brain and Language*, 96: 69–77, Jan 2006.
- Dominey PF. From sensorimotor sequence to grammatical construction: evidence from simulation and neurophysiology. *Adaptive Behavior*, 13: 347–362, 2005a.
- Dominey PF. Emergence of grammatical constructions: evidence from simulation and grounded agent experiments. *Connection Science*, 17: 289–306, 2005b.
- Dominey PF, Arbib MA, and Joseph JP. A model of cortico-striatal plasticity for learning oculomotor associations and sequences. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 7: 311–336, 1995.
- Dominey PF, Hoen M, Blanc J-M, and Lelekov-Boissard T. Neurological basis of language and sequential cognition: evidence from simulation, aphasia and ERP studies. *Brain and Language*, 86: 207–225, 2003.
- Dominey PF, Hoen M, and Inui T. A neurolinguistic model of grammatical construction processing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18: 2088–2107, 2006.
- Dominey PF, Inui T, and Hoen M. Neural network processing of natural language: II. Towards a unified model of corticostriatal function in sentence comprehension and non-linguistic sequencing. *Brain and Language*, 109: 80–92, 2009.
- Fiebach CJ, Schlesewsky M, Lohmann G, von Cramon DY, and Friederici AD. Revisiting the role of broca's area in sentence processing: syntactic integration versus syntactic working memory. *Human Brain Mapping*, 24: 79–91, 2005.
- Friederici AD. Towards a neural basis of auditory sentence processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6: 78–84, 2002.
- Friederici AD and Kotz SA. The brain basis of syntactic processes: functional imaging and lesion studies. *NeuroImage*, 20: S8–S17, 2003.
- Friederici AD, Kotz SJ, Werheid K, Hein G, and von Cramon DY. Syntactic comprehension in Parkinson's disease: investigating early automatic and late integrational processes using event-related brain potentials. *Neuropsychology*, 17: 133–142, 2003.
- Goldman-Rakic PS. Circuitry of primate prefrontal cortex and regulation of behavior by representational memory. In Mountcastle Vernon B (Ed), *Handbook of Physiology*, vol. 5; 1987: 373–417.
- Grossman M. Sentence processing in Parkinson's disease. *Brain and Cognition*, 40: 387–413, 1999.
- Grossman M, Glosser G, Kalmanson J, Morris J, Stern MB, and Hurtig HI. Dopamine supports sentence comprehension in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, 18: 123–130, 2001.
- Haber SN, Fudge JL, and McFarland NR. Striatonigrostriatal pathways in primates form an ascending spiral from the shell to the dorsolateral striatum. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 20: 2369–2382, 2000.
- Haber SN, Kim KS, Maily P, and Calzavara R. Reward-related cortical inputs define a large striatal region in primates that interface with associative cortical inputs, providing a substrate for incentive-based learning. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26: 8368–8376, 2006.
- Hagoort P. On Broca, brain and binding: a new framework. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9: 416–423, 2005.
- Hochstadt J, Nakano H, Lieberman P, and Friedman J. The roles of sequencing and verbal working memory in sentence comprehension in Parkinson's disease. *Brain and Language*, 97: 243–257, 2006.
- Inui T, Ogawa K, and Ohba M. Role of left inferior frontal gyrus in the processing of particles in Japanese. *NeuroReport*, 18: 431–434, 2007.
- Lehéricy S, Ducros M, Krainik A, Francois C, Van de Moortele P-F, Ugurbil K, et al. 3-D diffusion tensor axonal tracking shows distinct SMA and pre-SMA projections to the human striatum. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14: 1302–1309, 2004.
- MacWhinney B. Basic syntactic processes. In Kuczaj S (Ed), *Language Development: Syntax and Semantics*, vol. 1. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 1982: 73–136.
- Moro A, Tettamanti M, Perani D, Donati C, Cappa SF, and Fazio F. Syntax and the brain: disentangling grammar by selective anomalies. *NeuroImage*, 13: 110–118, 2001.
- Pasupathy A and Miller EK. Different time courses of learning-related activity in the prefrontal cortex and striatum. *Nature*, 433: 873–876, 2005.
- Pickett ER, Kuniholm E, Protopapas A, Friedman J, and Lieberman P. Selective speech motor, syntax and cognitive deficits associated with bilateral damage to the putamen and the head of the caudate nucleus: a case study. *Neuropsychologia*, 36: 173–188, 1998.
- Sakai KL. Language acquisition and brain development. *Science*, 403: 815–819, 2005.
- Stromswold K, Caplan D, Alpert N, and Rauch S. Localization of syntactic comprehension by positron emission tomography. *Brain and Language*, 52: 452–473, 1996.
- Teichmann M, Dupoux E, Kouider S, Brugieres P, Boisse MF, Baudic S, et al. The role of the striatum in rule application: the model of Huntington's disease at early stage. *Brain*, 128: 1155–1167, 2005.
- Tettamanti M, Buccino G, Saccuman MC, Gallese V, Danna M, Scifo P, et al. Listening to action-related sentences activates fronto-parietal motor circuits. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17: 273–281, 2005.
- Ullman MT. Contributions of memory circuits to language: the declarative/procedural model. *Cognition*, 92: 231–270, 2004.
- Ullman MT. Is Broca's area part of a basal ganglia thalamocortical circuit? *Cortex*, 42: 480–485, May 2006.
- Ullman MT, Corkin S, Coppola M, Hickok G, Growdon JH, Koroshetz WJ, et al. A neural dissociation within language: evidence that the mental dictionary is part of declarative memory, and that grammatical rules are processed by the procedural system. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 9: 266–276, 1997.